

750 Old Clemson Road Columbia, SC 29229

Grades 11-12 High School

Enrollment 65 Students

PrincipalMr. Henry Lovett, Jr.803-419-1338SuperintendentKatie Brochu803-787-1910Page of ChairThe Henry ble William R.903-736-0015

Board Chair The Honorable William R. 803-736-0015

2013 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2013	N/A	N/A
2012	N/A	N/A
2011	N/A	N/A
2010	N/A	N/A
2009	N/A	N/A

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS					
Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk					
26	3	0	0	0	

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students						
	Our High School High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Percent	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
Passed 2 subtests (%)	100.0%	100.0%	N/A	82.5%	84.4%	85.8%
Passed 1 subtest (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.4%	9.8%	9.4%
Passed no subtests (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.6%	6.4%	5.3%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2013		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	100.0%	94.7%

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate					
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours	
	2012*	2013	2012	2013	
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	48	40	336	343	
Number of Graduates in Cohort	14	18	264	276	
Rate	29.2%	45.0%	78.6%	80.7%	

^{*}Used to calculate current ESEA/Federal Accountability Grade.

Five-Year Graduation Rate					
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours	
	2012	2013	2012	2013	
Number of Students in Cohort	31	40	342	339	
Number of Graduates in Cohort	4	25	269	280	
Rate	12.9%	62.5%	78.2%	83.3%	

End of Course Tests					
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*			
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	100.0%	78.4%			
English 1		76.3%			
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	40.0%	82.2%			
US History and the Constitution	44.4%	66.3%			
All Tests	45.8%	75.7%			

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

School Profile

OCHOOL FIGHT	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n=65)				
Retention rate	3.6%	Down from 23.7%	2.6%	2.9%
Attendance rate	99.5%	Up from 99.2%	95.5%	95.1%
Served by gifted and talented program	3.6%	N/A	25.3%	17.5%
With disabilities	7.1%	N/A	10.8%	11.9%
Older than usual for grade	31.0%	N/A	6.1%	7.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	N/R	N/R	1.1%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	N/R	N/R	22.2%	15.1%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	55.0%	51.5%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	N/R	N/R	34.2%	30.6%
Annual dropout rate	14.3%	Up from 0.0%	2.3%	2.3%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No Change	7.1%	7.2%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	45	Up from 38	793	418
Students participating in work-based experiences	80.0%	Up from 35.3%	15.2%	14.6%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	81.8%	Up from 68.4%	85.0%	84.8%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.3%	98.3%
Teachers (n=1)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	100.0%	No Change	66.7%	63.4%
Continuing contract teachers	0.0%	No Change	80.3%	78.8%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	88.0%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	N/R	N/R	95.1%	95.2%
Average teacher salary*	I/S	I/S	\$49,993	\$48,699
Professional development days/teacher	N/R	N/R	11.4 days	9.9 days
School				
Principal's years at school	N/R	N/R	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	N/R	N/R	28.5 to 1	26.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	N/R	N/R	88.8%	89.0%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,930	Up 21.7%	\$7,332	\$7,919
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	34.0%	Up from 26.8%	60.0%	57.0%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	38.0%	Down from 49.9%	61.5%	60.0%
Opportunities in the arts	N/R	N/R	Excellent	Excellent
SACS accreditation	N/R	N/R	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	N/R	N/R	97.8%	97.7%
Character development program	N/R	N/R	Good	Good
Modern language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.0
Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.0

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

		HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2013		End of Course Tests Passage Rate		On-time Graduation Rate, 2013	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	
All Students	1	100.0%	24	45.8%	40	45.0%	
Gender							
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	47.1%	
Female	N/A	N/A	16	50.0%	23	43.5%	
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	47.1%	
African American	N/A	N/A	15	33.3%	20	45.0%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status							
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	1	12	6			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/A	100%	N/A			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/A	100%	N/A			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	N/A	91.7%	N/A			

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	N/A	
Overall Grade Conversion	ļ	

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

1	
Δ	
١	
Ċ	
C	
Ì	
)	
U	
ľ	
ıt	
F	
١	
o	
Ħ	
ı	
١	
1	
I	
'n	
ľ	
d	
i	
3	
а	
t	
C	
1(
ł	
f	
0	
r	
ı	
Γ	
ı	
ı	
е	
l	
S	
ì	
2	
h	
ľ	
1	
٥	
k	
ŝ	

RICHLAND TWO CHARTER HIGH school has been designated as a:

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
\checkmark	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality Data								
		Our Distric	t State					
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qu	3.5%	2.3%						
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qu	2.8%	4.9%						
	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective					
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes					

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data											
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*			
English/Language Arts											
All Students	2012	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Mathematics											
All Students	2012	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
All Students	2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			